Hospital Compare Preview Report APPLING HOSPITAL

Facility Type: Short-term

Ownership Type: Government - Hospital District or Authority

Emergency Service: Yes

Survey of Patients' Experience

Attention: Individual question scores appear only in the Preview Report and downloadable databases. Individual question scores are presented for informational purposes only; they are not official HCAHPS measures. A simple average of the individual questions that comprises a composite measure may not always match the composite score.

HCAHPS individual question scores based on fewer than 50 completed surveys will not be reported in the downloadable database.

HCAHPS Summary Star Rating

Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)

55(6)

(15)

Completed Surveys

Survey Response Rate 15%(6)

Star Rating:

More stars are better

"For more information on HCAHPS Star Ratings and Linear Scores, please see www.hcahpsonline.org"

*When HCAHPS scores are based on fewer than 25 completed surveys, scores WILL NOT be reported on Hospital Compare.

Footnotes:

6. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these scores with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.

15. The number of cases/patients is too few to report a star rating.

Communication with Nu (15) Linear Score (1 - 1			Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)
Composite (Q1 - Q3)	Facility	State	National
Always Patients who reported that their nurses 'Always' communicated well	83%(6)	79%	81%
Usually Patients who reported that their nurses 'Usually' communicated well	16%(6)	16%	15%
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that their nurses 'Sometimes' or 'Never' communicated well	1%(6)	5%	4%
Nurse Courtesy & Respect (Q1)	Facility	State	National
Always Patients who reported that their nurses "Always" treated them with courtesy and respect	86%(6)	85%	87%
Usually Patients who reported that their nurses "Usually" treated them with courtesy and respect	13%(6)	11%	10%
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that their nurses "Sometimes" or "Never" treated them with courtesy and respect	1%(6)	4%	3%
Nurse Listen (Q2)	Facility	State	National
Always	81%(6)	77%	78%

Communication with Nurses (15) Linear Score (1 - 100): Not Available(15)			Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)	
Patients who reported that their nurses "Always" listened carefully to them				
Usually Patients who reported that their nurses "Usually" listened carefully to them	18%(6)	17%	17%	
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that their nurses "Sometimes" or "Never" listened carefully to them	1%(6)	6%	5%	
Nurse Explain (Q3)	Facility	State	National	
Always Patients who reported that their nurses "Always" explained things n a way they could understand	82%(6)	76%	77%	
Usually Patients who reported that their nurses "Usually" explained things n a way they could understand	17%(6)	18%	18%	
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that their nurses "Sometimes" or "Never" explained things in a way they could understand	1%(6)	6%	5%	

Star Rating:

More stars are better

[&]quot;For more information on HCAHPS Star Ratings and Linear Scores, please see www.hcahpsonline.org"

^{*}When HCAHPS scores are based on fewer than 25 completed surveys, scores WILL NOT be reported on Hospital Compare.

6. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these scores with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance. 15. The number of cases/patients is too few to report a star rating.

Communication with Do	Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)		
Composite (Q5-Q7)	Facility	State	National
Always Patients who reported that their doctors 'Always' communicated well	83%(6)	82%	81%
Usually Patients who reported that their doctors 'Usually' communicated well	14%(6)	13%	14%
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that their doctors 'Sometimes' or 'Never' communicated well	3%(6)	5%	5%
Doctor Courtesy & Respect (Q5)	Facility	State	National
Always Patients who reported that their doctors "Always" treated them with courtesy and respect	81%(6)	87%	87%
Usually Patients who reported that their doctors "Usually" treated them with courtesy and respect	16%(6)	10%	10%
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that their doctors "Sometimes" or "Never" treated them with courtesy and respect	3%(6)	3%	3%

More stars are better

Communication with Doctors (15) Linear Score (1 - 100): Not Available(15)			Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)	
Doctor Listen (Q6)	Facility	State	National	
Always Patients who reported that their doctors "Always" listened carefully to them	82%(6)	80%	80%	
Usually Patients who reported that their doctors "Usually" listened carefully to them	15%(6)	15%	15%	
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that their doctors "Sometimes" or "Never" listened carefully to them	3%(6)	5%	5%	
Doctor Explain (Q7)	Facility	State	National	
Always Patients who reported that their doctors "Always" explained things in a way they could understand	88%(6)	78%	77%	
Usually Patients who reported that their doctors "Usually" explained things in a way they could understand	8%(6)	16%	17%	
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that their doctors "Sometimes" or "Never" explained things in a way they could understand	4%(6)	6%	6%	
Star Rating:				

APPLING HOSPITAL CCN-110071

Footnotes:

6. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these scores with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.

15. The number of cases/patients is too few to report a star rating.

Responsiveness of Hospital Staff (15) Linear Score (1 - 100): Not Available(15)			Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)	
Composite (Q4 & Q11)	Facility	State	National	
Always Patients who reported that they 'Always' received help as soon as they wanted	85%(6)	67%	70%	
Usually Patients who reported that they 'Usually' received help as soon as they wanted	11%(6)	22%	22%	
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that they 'Sometimes' or 'Never' received help as soon as they wanted	4%(6)	11%	8%	
Call Button (Q4)	Facility	State	National	
Always Patients who reported that they "Always" received help after using the call button as soon as they wanted	84%(6)	66%	69%	
Usually Patients who reported that they "Usually" received help after using the call button as soon as they wanted	13%(6)	23%	23%	

[&]quot;For more information on HCAHPS Star Ratings and Linear Scores, please see www.hcahpsonline.org"

^{*}When HCAHPS scores are based on fewer than 25 completed surveys, scores WILL NOT be reported on Hospital Compare.

Responsiveness of Hospital Staff (15) Linear Score (1 - 100): Not Available(15)			Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that they "Sometimes" or "Never" received help after using the call button as soon as they wanted	3%(6)	11%	8%
Bathroom Help (Q11)	Facility	State	National
Always Patients who reported that they "Always" received bathroom help as soon as they wanted	85%(6)	67%	71%
Usually Patients who reported that they "Usually" received bathroom help as soon as they wanted	10%(6)	21%	20%
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that they "Sometimes" or "Never" received bathroom help as soon as they wanted	5%(6)	12%	9%

Star Rating:

More stars are better

Footnotes:

6. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these scores with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.

15. The number of cases/patients is too few to report a star rating.

Communication About Pain

Composite (Q13 & Q14)	Facility	State	National
-----------------------	----------	-------	----------

[&]quot;For more information on HCAHPS Star Ratings and Linear Scores, please see www.hcahpsonline.org"

^{*}When HCAHPS scores are based on fewer than 25 completed surveys, scores WILL NOT be reported on Hospital Compare.

Composite (Q13 & Q14)	Facility	State	National
Always Patients who reported that staff 'Always' talked to them about their pain	74%(6)	63%	65%
Usually Patients who reported that staff 'Usually' talked to them about their pain	18%(6)	22%	22%
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that staff 'Sometimes' or 'Never' talked to them about their pain	8%(6)	15%	13%
Pain Talk (Q13)	Facility	State	National
Always Patients who reported that staff 'Always' talked to them about how much pain they had	72%(6)	65%	66%
Usually Patients who reported that staff 'Usually' talked to them about how much pain they had	21%(6)	21%	23%
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that staff 'Sometimes' or 'Never' talked to them about how much pain they had	7%(6)	14%	11%
Pain Treat (Q14)	Facility	State	National
Always Patients who reported that staff 'Always' talked to them about how to treat their pain	75%(6)	62%	63%

Communication About Pain				
Composite (Q13 & Q14)	Facility	State	National	
Usually Patients who reported that staff 'Usually' talked to them about how to treat their pain	15%(6)	21%	22%	
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that staff 'Sometimes' or 'Never' talked to them about how to treat their pain	10%(6)	17%	15%	

6. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these scores with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.

Communication About Medicines (15) Linear Score (1 - 100): Not Available(15)			Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)
Composite (Q16 - Q17)	Facility	State	National
Always Patients who reported that staff 'Always' explained about medicines before giving it to them	66%(6)	65%	66%
Usually Patients who reported that staff 'Usually' explained about medicines before giving it to them	21%(6)	17%	17%
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that staff 'Sometimes' or 'Never' explained about medicines before giving it	13%(6)	18%	17%

Communication About I			Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)
to them	ooj. Not Available(19)		
Medicine Explain (Q16)	Facility	State	National
Always Patients who reported that when receiving new medication the staff "Always" communicated what the medication was for	72%(6)	78%	79%
Usually Patients who reported that when receiving new medication the staff "Usually" communicated what the medication was for	21%(6)	13%	13%
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that when receiving new medication the staff "Sometimes" or "Never" communicated what the medication was for	7%(6)	9%	8%
Side Effects (Q17)	Facility	State	National
Always Patients who reported that when receiving new medication the staff "Always" discussed possible side effects	60%(6)	52%	53%
Usually Patients who reported that when receiving new medication the staff "Usually" discussed possible side effects	20%(6)	20%	21%
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that when	20%(6)	28%	26%

Communication About Medicines

Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)

(15) Linear Score (1 - 100): Not Available(15)

receiving new medication the staff "Sometimes" or "Never" discussed possible side effects

Star Rating:

More stars are better

"For more information on HCAHPS Star Ratings and Linear Scores, please see www.hcahpsonline.org"

*When HCAHPS scores are based on fewer than 25 completed surveys, scores WILL NOT be reported on Hospital Compare.

Footnotes:

6. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these scores with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.

15. The number of cases/patients is too few to report a star rating.

Cleanliness of Hospital Environment

Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)

(15) Linear Score (1 - 100): Not Available(15)

	Facility	State	National
Always Patients who reported that their room and bathroom were 'Always' clean	80%(6)	73%	75%
Usually Patients who reported that their room and bathroom were 'Usually' clean	14%(6)	18%	18%
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that their room and bathroom were 'Sometimes' or 'Never' clean	6%(6)	9%	7%

Star Rating:

More stars are better

"For more information on HCAHPS Star Ratings and Linear Scores, please see www.hcahpsonline.org"

*When HCAHPS scores are based on fewer than 25 completed surveys, scores WILL NOT be reported on Hospital Compare.

Footnotes:

6. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these scores with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.

15. The number of cases/patients is too few to report a star rating.

Quietness of Hospital	Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)
(15) Linear Score (1 - 100): Not Available(15)	

	Facility	State	National
Always Patients who reported that the area around their room was 'Always' quiet at night	76%(6)	67%	62%
Usually Patients who reported that the area around their room was 'Usually' quiet at night	24%(6)	25%	28%
Sometimes/Never Patients who reported that the area around their room was 'Sometimes' or 'Never' quiet at night	0%(6)	8%	10%

Star Rating:

More stars are better

"For more information on HCAHPS Star Ratings and Linear Scores, please see www.hcahpsonline.org"

*When HCAHPS scores are based on fewer than 25 completed surveys, scores WILL NOT be reported on Hospital Compare.

Footnotes:

6. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these scores with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.

15. The number of cases/patients is too few to report a star rating.

Discharge Information (15) Linear Score (1 - 1	00): Not Available(15)		Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)
Composite (Q19 - Q20)	Facility	State	National
Yes Patients who reported that YES, they were given information about what to do during their recovery at home	86%(6)	85%	87%
No Patients who reported that NO, they were not given information about what to do during their recovery at home	14%(6)	15%	13%
Help After Discharge (Q19)	Facility	State	National
Yes Patients who reported that YES, they did discuss whether they would need help after discharge	81%(6)	82%	84%
No Patients who reported that NO, they did not discuss whether they would need help after discharge	19%(6)	18%	16%
Symptoms (Q20)	Facility	State	National
Yes Patients who reported that YES, they did receive written information about possible symptoms to look out for after discharge	90%(6)	87%	89%
No Patients who reported that NO, they did not receive written	10%(6)	13%	11%

APPLING HOSPITAL CCN-110071

Discharge Information

Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)

(15) Linear Score (1 - 100): Not Available(15)

information about possible symptoms to look out for after discharge

Star Rating:

More stars are better

"For more information on HCAHPS Star Ratings and Linear Scores, please see www.hcahpsonline.org"

Footnotes:

6. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these scores with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.

15. The number of cases/patients is too few to report a star rating.

Care Transition

Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)

(15) Linear Score (1 - 100): Not Available(15)

Composite (Q23 - Q25)	Facility	State	National
Strongly Agree Patients who 'Strongly Agree' they understood their care when they left the hospital	55%(6)	52%	53%
Agree Patients who 'Agree' they understood their care when they left the hospital	41%(6)	42%	42%
Disagree/Strongly Disagree Patients who 'Disagree' or 'Strongly Disagree' they understood their care when they left the hospital	4%(6)	6%	5%

^{*}When HCAHPS scores are based on fewer than 25 completed surveys, scores WILL NOT be reported on Hospital Compare.

Care Transition (15) Linear Score (1 - 1	00): Not Available(15)		Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)
Preference (Q23)	Facility	State	National
Strongly Agree Patients who "Strongly Agree" that the staff took my preferences into account when determining their health care needs	51%(6)	45%	46%
Agree Patients who "Agree" that the staff took my preferences into account when determining my health care their needs	46%(6)	47%	47%
Disagree/Strongly Disagree Patients who "Disagree" or "Strongly Disagree" that the staff took my preferences into account when determining their health care needs	3%(6)	8%	7%
Understanding (Q24)	Facility	State	National
Strongly Agree Patients who "Strongly Agree" that they understood their responsiblities in managing their health	50%(6)	51%	53%
Agree Patients who "Agree" that they understood their responsiblities in managing their health	46%(6)	44%	43%
Disagree/Strongly Disagree	4%(6)	5%	4%

Care Transition (15) Linear Score (1 - 100): Not Available(15)			Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)	
Patients who "Disagree" or "Strongly Disagree" that they understood their responsiblities in managing their health				
Medicine Purpose (Q25)	Facility	State	National	
Strongly Agree Patients who "Strongly Agree" that they understood the purposes of their medications when leaving the hospital	63%(6)	58%	60%	
Agree Patients who "Agree" that they understood the purposes of their medications when leaving the hospital	32%(6)	37%	36%	
Disagree/Strongly Disagree Patients who "Disagree" or "Strongly Disagree" that they understood the purposes of their medications when leaving the hospital	5%(6)	5%	4%	

Star Rating:

More stars are better

Footnotes:

6. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these scores with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.

15. The number of cases/patients is too few to report a star rating.

[&]quot;For more information on HCAHPS Star Ratings and Linear Scores, please see www.hcahpsonline.org"

^{*}When HCAHPS scores are based on fewer than 25 completed surveys, scores WILL NOT be reported on Hospital Compare.

Overall Hospital Rating (15) Linear Score (1 - 100): Not Available(15)

Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)

	Facility	State	National
O-6 Rating Patients who gave their hospital a rating of 6 or lower on a scale from 0 (lowest) to 10 (highest)	4%(6)	9%	8%
7-8 Rating Patients who gave their hospital a rating of 7 or 8 on a scale from 0 (lowest) to 10 (highest)	33%(6)	20%	19%
9-10 Rating Patients who gave their hospital a rating of 9 or 10 on a scale from 0 (lowest) to 10 (highest)	63%(6)	71%	73%

Star Rating:

More stars are better

Footnotes:

6. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these scores with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.

15. The number of cases/patients is too few to report a star rating.

Willingness to Recommend this Hospital

Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)

(15) Linear Score (1 - 100): Not Available(15)

	Facility	State	National
Definitely Yes Patients who reported YES, they would definitely recommend the hospital	59%(6)	70%	72%

[&]quot;For more information on HCAHPS Star Ratings and Linear Scores, please see www.hcahpsonline.org"

^{*}When HCAHPS scores are based on fewer than 25 completed surveys, scores WILL NOT be reported on Hospital Compare.

Willingness to Reco	ommend this Hospital ore (1 - 100): Not Available(15)		Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018)
Probably Patients who reported YES, they would probably recommend the hospital	38%(6)	24%	23%
Definitely No Patients who reported NO, they would probably not or definitely not recommend the hospital	3%(6)	6%	5%

Star Rating:

More stars are better

Footnotes:

6. Fewer than 100 patients completed the HCAHPS survey. Use these scores with caution, as the number of surveys may be too low to reliably assess hospital performance.

15. The number of cases/patients is too few to report a star rating.

[&]quot;For more information on HCAHPS Star Ratings and Linear Scores, please see www.hcahpsonline.org"

^{*}When HCAHPS scores are based on fewer than 25 completed surveys, scores WILL NOT be reported on Hospital Compare.

Timely and Effective Care

Sepsis

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
SEP-1 Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock	N/A(7)	0(7)	54%	57%	79%

Footnotes:

7. No cases met the criteria for this measure.

Venous Thromboembolism Prevention

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
VTE-6 Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Hospital Acquired Potentially- Preventable Venous Thromboembolism	N/A(2,3,7)	0(2,3,7)	4%(25,26)	3%(25,26)	0%

- 2. Data submitted were based on a sample of cases/patients.
- 3. Results are based on a shorter time period than required.
- 7. No cases met the criteria for this measure.
- 25. State and national averages include Veterans Health Administration (VHA) hospital data.
- 26. State and national averages include Department of Defense (DoD) hospital data.

Emergency Depar	tment Care					
		Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
ED-1b		242 mins.	264	289 mins.(25,26)	256 mins.(25,26)	165 mins.
Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Median Time from ED Arrival	Low Volume	EDV-1	-	240 mins.	208 mins.	-
to ED Departure for Admitted ED Patients	Medium Volume	-	-	280 mins.	263 mins.	-
An EDV-1 indicator will be	High Volume	-	-	328 mins.	306 mins.	-
shown in the volume category row of your facility.	Very High Volume	-	-	368 mins.	332 mins.	-
ED-2b		65 mins.	245	92 mins.(25,26)	87 mins.(25,26)	32 mins.
Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Admit Decision Time to ED	Low Volume	EDV-1	-	59 mins.	55 mins.	-
Departure Time for Admitted Patients	Medium Volume	-	-	96 mins.	94 mins.	-
An EDV-1 indicator will be	High Volume	-	-	135 mins.	123 mins.	-
shown in the volume category row of your facility.	Very High Volume	-	-	150 mins.	143 mins.	-
OP-18b		125 mins.	403	142 mins.(25,26)	135 mins.(25,26)	92 mins.
Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Median Time from ED Arrival	Low Volume	EDV-1	-	116 mins.	112 mins.	-
to ED Departure for Discharged ED Patients	Medium Volume	-	-	145 mins.	144 mins.	-
An EDV-1 indicator will be	High Volume	-	-	152 mins.	163 mins.	-
shown in the volume category row of your facility.	Very High Volume	-	-	184 mins.	172 mins.	-
OP-18c		234 mins.	14	264 mins.(25)	208 mins.(25)	116 mins.
Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Median Time from ED Arrival	Low Volume	EDV-1	-	209 mins.	165 mins.	-

Emergen	cv Departi	ment Care

		Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
to ED Departure for Discharged ED Patients -	Medium Volume	-	-	268 mins.	223 mins.	-
Psychiatric/Mental Health Patients	High Volume	-	-	312 mins.	260 mins.	-
An EDV-1 indicator will be shown in the volume category row of your facility.	Very High Volume	-	-	360 mins.	284 mins.	-
OP-22 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Left Without Being Seen		1%	7,562	3%	2%	0%
OP-23 Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Head CT or MRI Scan Results for Acute Ischemic Stroke or Hemorrhagic Stroke Patients who Received Head CT or MRI Scan Interpretation Within 45 Minutes of ED Arrival		70%(1)	10(1)	70%(25)	72%(25)	100%
F44						

- The number of cases/patients is too few to report.
 State and national averages include Veterans Health Administration (VHA) hospital data.
 State and national averages include Department of Defense (DoD) hospital data.

Immunization

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
IMM-2 Q4 (2017) - Q1 (2018) Influenza Immunization	100%	203	91%(25,26)	93%(25,26)	100%

Immunization

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
IPFQR-IMM-2 Q4 (2017) - Q1 (2018) Influenza Immunization	91%	358	73%	82%	100%

Footnotes:

- 25. State and national averages include Veterans Health Administration (VHA) hospital data.
- 26. State and national averages include Department of Defense (DoD) hospital data.

Healthcare Personnel Influenza Vaccination

	Facility's Adherence Rate	State Adherence Rate	National Adherence Rate
IMM-3 Q4 (2018) - Q1 (2019) Influenza Vaccination Coverage among Healthcare Personnel	98%	90%	90%
HCP-FluVac Q4 (2017) - Q1 (2018) Healthcare Personnel Influenza Vaccination	92%	64%	82%

Perinatal Care

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
PC-01 Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Elective Delivery	N/A(5)	N/A(5)	2%(26)	2%(26)	0%

- 5. Results are not available for this reporting period.
- 26. State and national averages include Department of Defense (DoD) hospital data.

Card	liac	Care
O G I G		-

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
OP-2 Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Fibrinolytic Therapy Received Within 30 Minutes of ED Arrival	N/A(5)	N/A(5)	60%(25,26)	58%(25,26)	100%(25,26)
OP-3b Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Median Time to Transfer to Another Facility for Acute Coronary Intervention - Reporting Rate	N/A(5)	N/A(5)	66 mins.(25,26)	64 mins.(25,26)	36 mins.
OP-5 Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Median Time to ECG	16 mins.(1)	4(1)	8 mins.(25,26)	8 mins.(25,26)	4 mins.

- 1. The number of cases/patients is too few to report.
- 5. Results are not available for this reporting period.
- 25. State and national averages include Veterans Health Administration (VHA) hospital data.
- 26. State and national averages include Department of Defense (DoD) hospital data.

Cancer Care

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
OP-33 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) External Beam Radiotherapy for Bone Metastases	N/A(5)	N/A(5)	65%(26)	86%(26)	100%

- 5. Results are not available for this reporting period.
- 26. State and national averages include Department of Defense (DoD) hospital data.

Cataracts

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
OP-31 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Cataracts - Improvement in Patient's Visual Function within 90 Days Following Cataract Surgery	N/A(5)	N/A(5)	89%	95%	100%

Footnotes:

5. Results are not available for this reporting period.

Colonoscopy

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
OP-29 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients	N/A(5)	N/A(5)	79%(26)	88%(26)	100%
OP-30 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps - Avoidance of Inappropriate Use	N/A(5)	N/A(5)	80%(26)	90%(26)	100%

- 5. Results are not available for this reporting period.26. State and national averages include Department of Defense (DoD) hospital data.

Structural Measures

Structural Measures

	Measure Response
SM-5 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Safe Surgery Checklist Use	Yes
SM-6 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture	No
OP-12 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) The Ability for Providers with HIT to Receive Laboratory Data Electronically Directly into their ONC-Certified EHR System as Discrete Searchable Data	Yes
OP-17 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Tracking Clinical Results between Visits	Yes
OP-25 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Safe Surgery Checklist Use	Yes

Complications & Deaths

30 Day Death Rates

	Eligible	Facility Rate	National Rate	National	Facility	Compare	d to Aver	ages	
	Discharges	(95% int. limits)		Compare		Better	Same	Worse	Too Few
MORT-30-AMI	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	12.9%	TOO FEW(1)	State	1	65	0	50
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI) 30-Day Mortality Rate		(N/A(1), N/A(1))			Nation	33	2,343	11	1,917
MORT-30-HF	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	11.5%	TOO FEW(1)	State	4	94	1	35
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) Heart Failure (HF) 30-Day Mortality Rate		(N/A(1), N/A(1))			Nation	237	3,307	146	971
MORT-30-PN	73	18.4%	15.6%	SAME	State	1	108	7	18
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) Pneumonia 30-Day Mortality Rate		(13.8%, 23.7%)			Nation	264	3,720	270	469
MORT-30-STK	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	13.8%	TOO FEW(1)	State	0	72	2	47
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) Acute Ischemic Stroke (STK) 30-Day Mortality Rate		(N/A(1), N/A(1))			Nation	46	2,424	76	1,767
MORT-30-COPD	59	8.1%	8.5%	SAME	State	0	97	4	30
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) 30-Day Mortality Rate		(5.5%, 11.8%)			Nation	58	3,485	72	926
MORT-30-CABG	N/A(5)	N/A(5)	3.1%	N/A(5)	State	0	18	0	4
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) 30-Day All-Cause Mortality Following Coronary Artery		(N/A(5), N/A(5))			Nation	9	987	19	164

30 Day	Death	Rates
--------	-------	-------

Eligible	Facility Rate	National Rate	National	Facility Compare			
Discharge	s (95% int. limits)		Compare	Better	Same	Worse	Too Few

Bypass Graft (CABG) Surgery

Footnotes:

- The number of cases/patients is too few to report.
 Results are not available for this reporting period.

CMS Patient Safety Indicators

	Eligible	Facility Rate	National Ratio	National	Facility	Compare	d to Aver	ages	
	Discharges	(95% int. limits)		Compare		Better	Same	Worse	Too Few
PSI-3	404	0.41	0.52	SAME	State	5	93	2	1
Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2018) Pressure Ulcer Rate		(0, 1.53)			Nation	89	2,928	221	56
PSI-4	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	163.01	TOO FEW(1)	State	0	51	3	28
Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2018) Death among surgical inpatients with serious treatable complications Rate		(N/A(1), N/A(1))			Nation	45	1,689	65	949
PSI-6	524	0.27	0.27	SAME	State	0	99	1	1
Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2018) latrogenic pneumothorax, adult Rate		(0.03, 0.5)			Nation	2	3,239	25	39
PSI-8	493	0.11	0.11	SAME	State	0	100	0	0
Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2018) In-Hospital Fall With Hip		(0.01, 0.2)			Nation	0	3,261	1	41

	Eligible	Facility Rate	National Ratio	National	Facility	Compare	d to Aver	ages	
	Discharges	(95% int. limits)		Compare		Better	Same	Worse	Too Few
Fracture Rate									
PSI-9	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	2.53	TOO FEW(1)	State	0	87	0	5
Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2018) Perioperative Hemorrhage or Hematoma Rate		(N/A(1), N/A(1))			Nation	3	2,973	39	115
PSI-10	N/A(7)	N/A(7)	1.35	N/A(7)	State	0	76	0	8
Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2018) Postoperative Acute Kidney Injury Requiring Dialysis Rate		(N/A(7), N/A(7))			Nation	4	2,770	33	201
PSI-11	N/A(7)	N/A(7)	7.35	N/A(7)	State	1	70	4	9
Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2018) Postoperative Respiratory Failure Rate		(N/A(7), N/A(7))			Nation	70	2,565	146	217
PSI-12	26	3.77	3.85	SAME	State	0	82	6	4
Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2018) Perioperative Pulmonary Embolism (PE) or Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT) Rate		(0.77, 6.76)			Nation	36	2,863	121	111
PSI-13	N/A(7)	N/A(7)	5.09	N/A(7)	State	1	71	3	9
Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2018) Postoperative Sepsis Rate		(N/A(7), N/A(7))			Nation	19	2,707	60	209
PSI-14	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	0.95	TOO FEW(1)	State	0	79	1	11
Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2018) Postoperative Wound Dehiscence Rate	Vound			Nation	0	2,770	4	286	
PSI-15	47	1.62	1.29	SAME	State	0	91	0	7

	Eligible	Facility Rate	National Ratio	National	Facility	Compare	d to Aver	ages	
	Discharges	(95% int. limits)		Compare		Better	Same	Worse	Too Few
Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2018) Unrecognized Abdominopelvic Accidental Puncture/Laceration Rate		(0.32, 2.91)			Nation	2	2,951	33	166
PSI-90	N/A(5)	0.98	1.00	SAME	State	1	93	7	N/A(5)
Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2018) Patient Safety and Adverse Events Composite		(0.53, 1.43)			Nation	94	3,009	202	N/A(5)

- The number of cases/patients is too few to report.
 Results are not available for this reporting period.
 No cases met the criteria for this measure.

Infections

	Predicted	Reported	Days / Procedure	Facility Ratio (95% conf. int.)	State Ratio (95% conf. int.)	National Ratio	National Compare
HAI-1 Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Central Line Associated Bloodstream Infection (ICU + select Wards)	0.047	0	76	N/A(13) (N/A(13), N/ A(13))	0.800 (0.730, 0.874)	0.741	N/A (13)
HAI-2 Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Catheter Associated Urinary Tract Infections (ICU + select	0.204	0	403	N/A(13) (N/A(13), N/ A(13))	0.821 (0.755, 0.892)	0.800	N/A(13)

Infections							
	Predicted	Reported	Days / Procedure	Facility Ratio (95% conf. int.)	State Ratio (95% conf. int.)	National Ratio	National Compare
Wards)							
HAI-3 Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) SSI - Colon Surgery	N/A(12)	N/A(12)	N/A(12)	N/A(12) (N/A(12), N/ A(12))	0.938 (0.831, 1.054)	0.894	N/A(12)
HAI-4 Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) SSI - Abdominal Hysterectomy	N/A(12)	N/A(12)	N/A (12)	N/A(12) (N/A(12), N/ A(12))	0.961 (0.785, 1.166)	0.899	N/A (12)
HAI-5 Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) MRSA Bacteremia	0.068	0	2,549	N/A(13) (N/A(13), N/ A(13))	0.949 (0.845, 1.061)	0.848	N/A(13)
HAI-6 Q1 (2018) - Q4 (2018) Clostridium Difficile (C.Diff)	0.705	0	2,549	N/A(13) (N/A(13), N/ A(13))	0.677 (0.648, 0.706)	0.711	N/A(13)

- 12. This measure does not apply to this hospital for this reporting period.13. Results cannot be calculated for this reporting period.

Surgical Complications

	Eligible	Complication Rate (95% int. limits)	National Rate	National Compare	Facility Compared to Averages					
	Discharges					Better	Same	Worse	Too Few	
COMP-HIP-KNEE	N/A(5)	N/A(5)	2.5%	N/A(5)	State	1	71	2	15	
Q2 (2015) - Q1 (2018)		(N/A(5), N/A(5))			Nation	64	2,664	58	656	

Few

Surgical Complications				Facility Compared to Averages Better Same Worse Too					
Eligible	Complication	National Rate	National	Facility Compare	d to Aver	ages			
Discharges	Rate (95% int. limits)		Compare	Better	Same	Worse	Too		

HIP/Knee Complication Rate (RSCR) following Total Hip/ Knee Arthroplasty

Footnotes:

5. Results are not available for this reporting period.

Unplanned Hospital Visits

Condition Specific Readmission

	Eligible	Facility Rate	National Rate	National	Facility	Compare	d to Aver	ages	
	Discharges	(95% int. limits)		Compare		Better	Same	Worse	Too Few
READM-30-AMI	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	15.7%	TOO FEW(1)	State	1	58	0	52
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI) 30-Day Readmission Rate		(N/A(1), N/A(1))			Nation	17	2,153	27	1,953
READM-30-HF	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	21.6%	T00 FEW(1)	State	2	95	4	33
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) Heart Failure (HF) 30-day Readmission Rate		(N/A(1), N/A(1))			Nation	120	3,487	163	895
READM-30-PN	74	17.3%	16.6%	SAME	State	1	110	3	20
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) Pneumonia (PN) 30-day Readmission Rate		(13.9%, 21.2%)			Nation	44	4,053	157	473
READM-30-COPD	58	18.3%	19.5%	SAME	State	0	103	0	28
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) 30-day Readmission Rate		(14.8%, 22.3%)			Nation	15	3,592	53	882

Footnotes:

1. The number of cases/patients is too few to report.

Too

Few

170

14

632

4

Worse

10

3

40

987

69

2,714

10

44

Nation

State

Nation

N/A(5)

Procedure Specific Readmission										
	Eligible	Facility Rate	National Rate	National Compare	Facility Compared to Averages					
	Discharges	(95% int. limits)				Better	Same	Wors		
READM-30-CABG	N/A(5)	N/A(5)	12.8%	N/A(5)	State	0	18	0		

(N/A(5), N/A(5))

(N/A(5), N/A(5))

N/A(5)

N/A(5)

Graft Surgery (CABG) READM-30-HIP-KNEE Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) 30-Day Readmission Rate Following Elective Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty (THA) and/or Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA)

Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018)

Hospital-Level 30-day All-

Cause Unplanned Readmission Following Coronary Artery Bypass

Footnotes:

5. Results are not available for this reporting period.

Hospital Wide Readmission

	Eligible	Facility Rate	National Rate	National Compare	Facility Compared to Averages					
	Discharges	(95% int. limits)				Better	Same	Worse	Too Few	
READM-30-	168	15.5%	15.3%	SAME	State	4	114	5	7	
HOSPWIDE Q3 (2017) - Q2 (2018) 30-Day Hospital-Wide All- Cause Unplanned		(14.0%, 17.2%)			Nation	199	4,045	237	192	

4%

•	Eligible	Facility Rate	National Rate	National	Facility	Compared to Averages			
	Discharges	(95% int. limits)		Compare		Better	Same	Worse	Too Few
Readmission Rate									
Inpatient Psychia	tric Facility	(IPF)- Readm	ission						
	Eligible	Facility Rate	National Rate	National	Facility Compared to Averages				
	Discharges	(95% int. limits)		Compare		Better	Same	Worse	Too Few
READM-30-IPF	964	20%	20.1%	SAME	State	4	33	2	1
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2017) Rate of readmission after discharge from hospital		(17.6%, 22.7%)			Nation	109	1,325	177	81
Procedure Specif	ic Outcome	S							
	Eligible	Facility Rate	National Rate	National	Facility	Compare	d to Aver	ages	
	Discharges	(95% int. limits)		Compare		Better	Same	Worse	Too Few
OP-32	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	14.8	TOO FEW(1)	State	0	87	0	31
Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Facility 7-Day Risk Standardized Hospital Visit Rate after Outpatient	cility 7-Day Risk				Nation	0	3,024	1	842

^{1.} The number of cases/patients is too few to report.

Exc	cess	Days	in	Acute	Care
-----	------	------	----	-------	------

	Eligible	Patients	Returned to	Measr. Days	Compare	Facility	Facility Compared to Averages (Days)				
	Discharges	Included a Hospital	(95% int. limits)			Fewer	Same	More	Too Few		
EDAC-30-AMI	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	T00 FEW(1)	State	4	36	17	51	
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) Excess Days in Acute Care after Hospitalization for Acute Myocardial Infarction			(N/A(1), N/ A(1))		Nation	254	1,375	482	1,916		
EDAC-30-HF	N/A(1)	N/A(1) N/A(1)	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	T00 FEW(1)	State	8	64	26	33	
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) Excess Days in Acute Care after Hospitalization for Heart Failure	cute Care		(N/A(1), N/ A(1))		Nation	446	2,420	777	891		
EDAC-30-PN	74	61	22	34.4	WORSE	State	13	67	31	20	
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018) Excess Days in Acute Care after Hospitalization for Pneumonia		(5.4, 71)		Nation	602	2,495	1,029	471			

1. The number of cases/patients is too few to report.

Payment & Value of Care

Payment

	Eligible	Facility	National	National	Facility Co	ompared to	Average	s	
	Discharges	Payment (95% conf. int.)	Average Payment	Compare		Greater	Same	Less	Too Few
PAYM-30-AMI	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	\$24,627	TOO FEW(1)	State	1	57	4	49
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018)		(N/A(1), N/A(1))			Nation	195	1,887	164	1,889
Risk-Standardized Payment Associated with a 30-Day AMI Episode-of-Care for Acute Myocardial Infarction	rith a 30-Day of-Care for rdial Infarction				Value of Care	N/A (13)			
PAYM-30-HF	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	\$17,217	TOO FEW(1)	State	3	66	25	36
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018)		(N/A(1), N/A(1))			Nation	562	2,531	413	1,012
Risk-Standardized Payment Associated with a 30-Day Episode of Care for Heart Failure	ted with a 30-Day				Value of Care	N/A (13)			
PAYM-30-PN	67 \$16,684	\$16,684		SSAME	State	8	73	30	19
Q3 (2015) - Q2 (2018)		(\$14,562,			Nation	795	2,415	878	502
Associated with a 30-Day Episode of Care for Pneumonia	e of Care for	\$18,840)			Value of Care	<u> </u>			rage
PAYM-90-HIP-KNEE	N/A(5)	N/A(5)	\$21,392	N/A(5)	State	14	32	28	15
Q2 (2015) - Q1 (2018)		(N/A(5), N/A(5))			Nation	665	1,034	1,082	661
Risk-Standardized Payment Associated with a 90-Day Episode of Care for THA/ TKA					Value of Care	N/A (13)			

APPLING HOSPITAL CCN-110071

- The number of cases/patients is too few to report.
 Results are not available for this reporting period.
 Results cannot be calculated for this reporting period.

Medicare Spending per Beneficiary

	Facility Rate	State Rate	National Rate	National Median Amount
MSPB-1 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Spending per hospital patient with Medicare	0.93	0.95	0.99	\$21,127.95
CEBP-1 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Cholecystectomy and Common Duct Exploration Clinical Episode-Based Payment	N/A (1)	0.98	1.00	\$15,418.70
CEBP-2 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Cellulitis Clinical Episode-Based Payment	N/A(1)	0.97	1.00	\$9,718.24
CEBP-3 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Gastrointestinal Hemorrhage Clinical Episode-Based Payment	N/A(1)	0.95	0.99	\$11,081.90
CEBP-4 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Kidney/Urinary Tract Infection Clinical Episode-Based Payment	N/A(1)	0.95	0.99	\$10,049.17
CEBP-5 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Spinal Fusion Clinical Episode- Based Payment	N/A(1)	1.01	1.02	\$36,730.12
CEBP-6 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017)	N/A(1)	1.02	1.02	\$38,107.25

Medicare Spending per Beneficiary

Facility Rate State Rate National Rate National Median Amount

Aortic Aneurysm Procedure Clinical Episode-Based Payment

Note:

An MSPB performance of greater than one indicates that your hospital's MSPB Amount is more expensive than the U.S. National Median MSPB Amount.

A MSPB performance of less than one indicates that your hospital's MSPB Amount is less expensive than the National Median Amount.

Footnotes:

1. The number of cases/patients is too few to report.

Continuity of Care

Use of an Electronic Health Record (EHR)

	Measure	EHR Usage & Response Rate							
	Response		Paper or Other Forms	Non-Certified EHR Tech.	Certified EHR Tech	Response Rate (Yes)	Response Rate (No)		
EHR	Paper or Other Form	State	51%	5%	44%	-	-		
Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Use of an Electronic Health Record (EHR)		Nation	56%	2%	42%	-	-		
EHR-HISP	No	State	-	-	-	49%	51%		
Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Healthcare information exchanged with Health Information Service Provider		Nation	-	-	-	39%	61%		

Transition Records

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
TR1 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Transition Record with Specified Elements	100%	696	44%	50%	98%
TR2 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Timely Transmission of Transition Record	100%	696	40%	45%	97%

Hospital-Based Inpatient Psychiatric Services

Facility Rate Number of Patients State Rate National Rate	Top 10%
---	---------

Hospital-Based Inpatient Psychiatric Se

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
HBIPS-5 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Patients discharged on multiple antipsychotic medications with appropriate justification	100%	325	49%	66%	100%

Follow-up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
FUH-30 Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2017) Follow-up after Hospitalization for Mental Illness 30-Days	56%	25	47.1%	52.7%	71.7%
FUH-7 Q3 (2016) - Q2 (2017) Follow-up after Hospitalization for Mental Illness 7-Days	24%	25	28.6%	30.6%	47.9%

Substance Use Treatment

Substance Use

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
SUB-2 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Alcohol Use Brief Intervention Provided or Offered	71%	35	78%	79%	100%
SUB-2a Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Alcohol Use Brief Intervention	34%	35	70%	72%	100%
SUB-3 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Alcohol and other Drug Use Disorder Treatment Provided or Offered at Discharge	0%(1)	1(1)	64%	65%	98%
SUB-3a Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Alcohol and other Drug Use Disorder Treatment Provided at Discharge	0%(1)	1(1)	53%	54%	93%

Footnotes:

1. The number of cases/patients is too few to report.

Tobacco Use

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
TOB-2 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017)	100%	33	76%	80%	100%

Discharge

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
Tobacco Use Treatment Provided or Offered					
TOB-2a Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Tobacco Use Treatment (during the hospital stay)	9%	33	40%	45%	86%
TOB-3 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Tobacco Use Treatment Provided or Offered at Discharge	100%	33	60%	54%	97%
TOB-3a Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Tobacco Use Treatment at	9%	33	13%	15%	57%

Experience of Care

Patient Experience

Hospital-Based Inpatient Psychiatric Services

		Rate	Hours	Days
HBIPS-2	Facility	0	0	10,950
Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Hours of physical-restraint use	State	0.6	9,346.12	649,330
riours of physical lestraint use	National	0.36	250,637.92	29,102,920
HBIPS-3	Facility	0.01	3.83	10,950
Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Hours of seclusion	State	0.16	2,384.19	638,235
	National	0.23	155,194.4	28,425,227

Assessment of Patient Experience of Care

	Measure Response	Measure	Response Details	
			Response Rate (Yes)	Response Rate (No)
PEoC	No	State	68%	32%
Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Assessment of Patient		Nation	78%	22%

Preventative Care and Screening

Screening

	Facility Rate	Number of Patients	State Rate	National Rate	Top 10%
SMD Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Screening for Metabolic Disorders	100%	696	52%	65%	99%
SUB-1 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Alcohol Use Screening	100%	712	92%	92%	100%
TOB-1 Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017) Tobacco Use Screening	100%	712	95%	96%	100%

Surgical Procedures

Surgical Procedure Volume

Surgical Procedure Volume

	GI	GU	Nervous	MS	CV	Eye	Skin	Resp.	Other
			System						
OP-26	397	3	0	0	0	161	65	6	0
Q1 (2017) - Q4 (2017)									
Hospital Outpatient Volume on Selected Outpatient									

Use of Medical Imaging

Imaging Efficiency

	Number of Patients	Facility Rate	State Rate	National Rate
OP-8 Q3 (2017) - Q2 (2018) MRI Lumbar Spine for Low Back Pain	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	39.3%	38.7%
OP-9 Q3 (2017) - Q2 (2018) Mammography Follow-up Rates	163	7.4%	8.2%	8.9%
OP-10 Q3 (2017) - Q2 (2018) Abdomen CT - Use of Contrast Material	104	3.8%	7.1%	6.9%
OP-11 Q3 (2017) - Q2 (2018) Thorax CT - Use of Contrast Material	56	3.6%	1.4%	1.4%
OP-13 Q3 (2017) - Q2 (2018) Cardiac Imaging for Preoperative Risk Assessment for Non-Cardiac Low-Risk Surgery	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	4.9%	4.7%
OP-14 Q3 (2017) - Q2 (2018) Simultaneous Use of Brain Computed Tomography (CT) and Sinus Computed Tomography (CT)	N/A(1)	N/A(1)	1%	1.2%

1. The number of cases/patients is too few to report.